## APPLICATION OF THE STATISTICAL APPROACH TO THE PROCESS OF LIGHT SPREADING IN TWIST-STRUCTURES OF LYOTROPIC LIQUID CRYSTALS

A. V. Golovanov<sup>a</sup>, N.V. Kazakov<sup>b</sup>, V.I. Shapovalov<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institute of Organoelement Compounds, Russian Academy of Sciences, Vavilova st., 28, Moscow, 119991 Russia, e-mail: gav@ineos.ac.ru

<sup>b</sup>Volgograd State Technical University, Lenin ave., 28, Volgograd, 400066 Russia, <sup>c</sup>The Volgograd branch of Moscow human-economical institute, Aviatorov road st., 8, Volgograd, 400048 Russia

According to the adiabatic theorem [1] a direction of light polarization inside a layer of a liquid crystal (LC) remains parallel to the director, if it satisfies Mogen condition:

 $\theta \lambda \leq 2\pi \Delta n d$ ,

.C2009 P137

(1)

where  $\lambda$  - length of light wave;  $\theta$ - maximal angle of the director deviation from an initial state at the centre of a LC layer;  $\Delta n$  - difference between ordinary and unordinary parameters of refraction, d - thickness of the LC layer. The performance of a condition (1) actually means that each director on ways of light beam represents by itself the analyzer. In this case, for twistdeformation the well-known expression of the Maluce law (in crossed on polarizers) is applicable:

$$I = I_0 \cdot \sin^2(\delta/2) \cdot \sin^2[2 \cdot \varphi(z)], \tag{2}$$

where  $I_0$  - intensity of light falling at LC, z - direction of light wave spreading (perpendicular to the surface of a LC layer),  $\varphi(z)$  - angle between polarizer and director,  $\delta$  - difference of phases between ordinary and unordinary beams of light. For many lyotropic nematics the condition (1) is not carried out because of small value of  $\Delta n$  [2]. Therefore even for values of magnetic field intensity H a little bit exceeding a field of Frederics threshold  $H_c$  the light field of the analyzer is clarified [3]. In this case using of the Maluce law in form of (2) results in the contradiction, i.e. it gives I=0 at the output of the analyzer. It is connected to the fact that here the expression (2) is not applicable because of infringement (1): each director can not any more carry out function of the analyzer on ways of light beam. The application of the Maluce law for twist-deformation is possible and in the case of infringement of a condition (1), if anyone at a choice of an angle in the formula (2) will be guided by the statistical approach. In the given situation we offer to consider interaction of light with nematic as with a monocrystal, optical indicatrisse of which is orientated on the average director. Thus, according to our approach the formula (2) should be changed to expression:

$$I = I_0 \cdot \sin^2(\delta/2) \cdot \sin^2 < 2\,\varphi(z) >, \tag{3}$$

where  $\langle \varphi \rangle = \int_{0}^{d} \left[ \varphi(z) \right]^{2} dz / \int_{0}^{d} \varphi(z) dz$ ; (z) - function of distribution of the director on an axis z. As

an illustration of applications of expression (3) we shall take a well-known ratio for LC deformation in a magnetic field [4]:

 $\varphi(z)=2\cdot[(H/H_{\rm C})-1]^{1/2}\cdot\sin(\pi z/d).$ After substitution of this ratio in (3), we shall receive theoretical dependence I(H):

$$I(H) = I_0 \cdot \sin^2(\delta/2) \cdot \sin^2\{\pi \cdot [(H/H_C) - 1]^{1/2}\}.$$
(4)

At small angles  $\varphi(z)$  ([(H/H<sub>C</sub>)-1]<<1) dependence I(H) becomes linear one. This fact qualitatively confirms the effect of an enlightenment of a light field of the analyzer observed in [3]. Besides, it is necessary to add, that the dependence I(H), if it is received experimentally, allows to determine value of double beam refraction ( $\Delta n = n_e - n_0$ ) of liquid crystals. It follows from dependence  $\delta = (2\pi d/\lambda) \cdot \Delta n$  and from that fact, that  $\Delta n$  at twist-deformation does not depend on the value of a magnetic field.

ECLC2009\_P137

References

- (1) P.De Jean. *Physics of liquid crystals*. Moscow: Mir, **1977**.
- (2) A. Sonin., V. Shapovalov The journal of physical chemistry, 1989, V. 63. p.2481.
- (3) N. Kazakov, A. Kaznacheev, A. Sonin *The crystallography*, **1992**, *V.37*. p.1578.

(4) S. Pickin Structural transformations in liquid crystals. Moscow, Nauka, 1981.